ARE CLIMATE POLICIES POPULAR IN MALAYSIA?

POLICY BRIEF 2/2025

Center for Technology, Strategy & Sustainability (CTSS) Kuala Lumpur, 14th March 2025

ABOUT THE SERIES

This policy brief is a series of research documents summarizing the knowledge of area contextualized to Southeast Asia and Malaysia, in particular from ongoing research work by the Center for Technology, Strategy & Sustainability (CTSS) at the Asia School of Business. The author of this issue is **Emir Izat bin Abdul Rashid, ASB CTSS Senior Research Associate**.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



Emir Izat bin Abdul Rashid is currently a senior research associate at the Center for Technology, Strategy, and Sustainability (CTSS) at the Asia School of Business. He earned an MSc. in Political Science and Political Economy at the London School of Economics and Political Science and a B.S. in Applied Mathematics at the University of California, Los Angeles. Emir's research interest is in applied microeconomics especially in political economy. His research typically employs the use of economic models and combining them with statistical methods to study a variety of social science problems.

Contact the author at: emir.izat@asb.edu.my.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Sharon Wah, Thessa Vasudhevan, and the participants of the ASB CTSS Policy Brief launch for the helpful comments.



Citation

This policy brief should be cited as: Emir Izat bin Abdul Rashid. *Are Climate Policies Popular in Malaysia?* Policy Brief 2/2025. Kuala Lumpur: Center for Technology, Strategy & Sustainability (CTSS), 2025.

About Center of Technology, Strategy and Sustainability

The Center of Technology, Strategy & Sustainability (CTSS) is a research center housed at Asia School of Business (ASB) in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. The Center of Technology, Strategy& Sustainability is endowed by Sapura Energy, and aims to be a leading center of excellence and research on business strategies and technology in emerging markets and their socio-political environment.

Contact us at ctss@asb.edu.my.

About Asia School of Business

Challenge conventional thinking and create change beyond business. Established in 2015 by Bank Negara Malaysia in collaboration with MIT Sloan School of Management (MIT Sloan), Asia School of Business (ASB) is committed to developing transformative and principled leaders who will create a positive impact in the emerging world and beyond.



Executive Summary

Policy popularity is a key measure behind the success of any government policy. All else being equal, popular policies have a much higher chance of lasting through different political administrations, less partisan, and closer to the public's preferences and expectations of the government role in society. As the country enters a climate vulnerable period, the government will play a larger role in implementing climate policies have thus far been limited in scope, what is the expected popularity of increased government involvement in climate action for Malaysia?

Stated Preference for Climate Policy

The straightforward method at measuring policy popularity is by obtaining the views of individuals regarding the climate with the assumption that respondents are sincere in answering. This method has been widely used to gauge Malaysia's opinion on the climate. Stated preference for climate policies are uncontroversial among Malaysians: as evidenced by the high levels of climate change awareness[1], worries for adverse environmental outcomes[2], and support for much greater government intervention to reduce climate most damage[3]. The extensive gathering of global opinion thus far was the International Public Opinion on Climate Change 2023 [4]. For Malaysia, there were 1,805 survey respondents

In terms of climate beliefs, 83% of Malaysians in the survey believed that climate change is happening and over 75% believe that humans are contributors of climate change. Over 80% of Malaysians believe that climate change is an important personal issue for them and at least half of respondents believe that climate change should be a high priority for the government. Malaysians have a stronger stated preference for climate priority than developed countries such as Austria and Germany

However, talk is cheap. Individuals responding to researchers may be giving an answer that best portrays them according to social expectations of selflessness and responsible consumption. Pro-climate opinions can be supplemented by an explicit display of effort such as levels of climate volunteerism i.e., through the giving of time and energy to climate efforts. Here, the World Values us an important Survev offers measure we can use: active and inactive membership in an environmental organization[4]. In 2018, only 7.6% of the respondents in Malaysia are active members in an environmental organization while 18.7% of the respondents are inactive members - giving a total of 26.3% of respondents with some affiliation to an environmental organization. To compare with our neiahborina countries, Indonesia has 19.7% of its respondents being active members while Thailand has 13.1%: significantly higher memberships in environmental organization than Malaysia; although we are better than Singapore's 3.7%.

As we approach a future of increased government action on climate change, what are some potential factors that may undermine the popularity of climate policies?

[1] Ehsan et al., "Households' Perceptions and Socio-Economic Determinants of Climate Change Awareness." [2] Leiserowitz et al., "International Public Opinion on Climate Change."

[3] Azhari et al., "Malaysia National Survey on Climate Change Concern, Behaviour, and Media Attitude – Preliminary Report."

Potential reasons for the unpopularity of climate policies

One direct path where climate policies can negatively affect popular opinion is via its potential negative effect towards economic well-being. The argument here is that climate policies such as mitigation typically in the form of energy transition or carbon pricing incurs a rise in cost of living because of rising energy cost. As energy in Malaysia is primarily sourced from fossil fuels, mitigation imposes a cost consumption on energy both individually and on a firm-level. On the one hand, individuals will have to pay a higher price to access energy and on the other hand, firms will face lower profits due to increased cost of production. Individual well-being will be adversely affected because of the reduced energy consumption and overall consumption due to rising prices from firms. As a result, climate policies are argued to negatively affect well-being and thus would contribute towards the unpopularity of them.

The argument fundamentally neglects the temporal aspects of climate policy. Since climate policy is designed to improve future well-being, short-term costs to current well-being are expected. Climate policies are an

investment for the future. Firms and individuals will inevitably respond to higher energy costs by making lifestyle and production adjustments that maximizes available resources. may be Climate policies verv unpopular in the short-term and may even coincide with election timing, which can make elected governments hesitant to implement. In the long run, climate policies accrue benefits through reduced pollution, improved population health, and better resource management for consumption. Thus, responsible governments that emphasize longevity for its nation would choose to invest in the climate.

However, some may argue that the short-term costs to well-being from climate policies may be larger than the long-term benefits. In this case, there is no reason to pay the shortterm costs in the first place. Climate policy should be targeted to minimize the short term costs and maximize the long-term benefits. For example, the removal of fossil-fuel subsidies and/or increased electricity tariff prices in Malaysia (both positively influence climate mitigation) lead to higher short-term energy costs. But. increased energy costs affect

individuals differently based on income. Poorer households face significantly higher impacts to wellbeing than richer ones. As shown in the European example, introducing carbon pricing improves green innovation and lower emissions; poorer households spend a larger share of their income on energy than richer ones, therefore face greater loss of income[5].

Closer to home, the bottom 10% of Malaysians spend more than half of their income on food and housing which includes utilities, consistent with the Engel's curve[6]. Increased energy prices will affect food prices and utilities, leading to loss of income subsequently affect which their wellbeing. Poorer households' wellbeing are more sensitive than richer ones due to their expenditure profile. If climate policy popularity is linked to wellbeing, then we would expect absent redistribution - that poorer households are much more likely to be against climate policy than richer Thus, climate policy that ones. increases prices, especially food and energy prices, must address the loss of well-being commensurate with their

income status through government transfers. Doing so would minimize the short-term costs of climate policy and guard against loss of policy popularity.

Can popularity of climate policies convert to substantive change?

Suppose now that climate policies are popular and Malaysians desire a more aggressive climate action for the government. What are the potential obstacles which prevent the full realization of climate policy outcomes as desired by Malaysians?

- 1. Dependence of basic infrastructure on fossil fuel technologies i.e., carbon lock-in.[7]
- 2. Governmental capacity to enforce and implement regulations.[8]
- 3. Coordination costs to organize and influence existing governments for climate policy.[9]
- 4. Slow capital mobilization to support climate policy implementation.[10]

Therefore, although popularity matters for the justification of any government to implement climate policies, the obstacles listed above may lead to the policy unrealized. Popularity matters as it provides the basis for the government to act. However, even with removing the structural obstacles of climate policy implementation, the government must also pay attention to the short-run negative effects to the citizen's well-being which can undermine its popularity i.e., the very basis that climate policies are undertaken.

[7] Schuch et al., "Breaking the Carbon Lock-In."

[8] Besley and Persson, "The Political Economics of Green Transitions."

[9] Barro, "The Control of Politicians"; Ferejohn, "Incumbent Performance and Electoral Control."

[10] Long et al., "Climate Finance."

References

Azhari, A, LM Richardson, E Pandya-Wood, and E Baulch. "Malaysia National Survey on Climate Change Concern, Behaviour, and Media Attitude – Preliminary Report." Malaysia: Monash Climate Change Communication Research Hub Malaysia Node, 2023.

Barro, Robert J. "The Control of Politicians: An Economic Model." Public Choice 14, no. 1 (March 1, 1973): 19–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01718440.

Besley, Timothy, and Torsten Persson. "The Political Economics of Green Transitions." The Quarterly Journal of Economics 138, no. 3 (June 23, 2023): 1863–1906. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjad006.

Department of Statistics Malaysia. "2020 Malaysian Census," n.d.

Ehsan, Sofia, Rawshan Ara Begum, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, and Zaher Mundher Yaseen. "Households' Perceptions and Socio-Economic Determinants of Climate Change Awareness: Evidence from Selangor Coast Malaysia." Journal of Environmental Management 316 (August 15, 2022): 115261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.115261.

Ferejohn, John. "Incumbent Performance and Electoral Control." Public Choice 50, no. 1/3 (1986): 5–25.

Inglehart, R., C. Haerpfer, A. Moreno, C. Welzel, K. Kizilova, J. Diez-Medrano, M. Lagos, P. Norris, E. Ponarin & B. Puranen et al. (eds.). 2014. World Values Survey: Round Six - Country-Pooled Datafile Version: <u>https://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSDocumentationWV6.jsp</u>. Madrid: JD Systems Institute.

Känzig, Diego R. "The Unequal Economic Consequences of Carbon Pricing." Working Paper. Working Paper Series. National Bureau of Economic Research, May 2023. https://doi.org/10.3386/w31221.

Leiserowitz, A, E Goddard, E Wood, J Carman, N Ordaz Reynoso, E Thulin, S Rosenthal, J Marlon, and N Buttermore. "International Public Opinion on Climate Change." New Haven, CT: Yale Program on Climate Change and Data for Good at Meta, 2023.

Long, Suwan, Brian Lucey, Satish Kumar, Dayong Zhang, and Zhiwei Zhang. "Climate Finance: What We Know and What We Should Know?" Journal of Climate Finance 1 (December 1, 2022): 100005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclimf.2023.100005.

Schuch, Esther, Maria Apergi, Deborah Yik Kuen Chow, Laima Eicke, Andreas Goldthau, Jude H. Kurniawan, Renato Lima-de-Oliveira, Zhai Gen Tan, and Silvia Weko. "Breaking the Carbon Lock-in: Identifying Pathways for Malaysia towards a Low-Carbon Future." Technological Forecasting and Social Change 202 (May 1, 2024): 123331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123331.